

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/919,718 08/01/2001		Stefan Kopsell	14807	7630	
7590 12/01/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			LIN, WE	LIN, WEN TAI	
Garden City, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			2154		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>. </u>		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	- (M			
Office Action Summary		09/919,	718	KOPSELL ET AL.	ak			
		Examin		Art Unit				
		Wen-Ta		2154				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				SS			
Period fo	• •		70 5 40,55					
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISION OF THE PROVI	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of ication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 August</u> 200	01 and 18 Decer	mber 2001.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
٠,۵	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-3 and 13-28 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 4-12 and 29-37 is/are objecte							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction		requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E	= Yaminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2001</u>		ented or h)⊠ o	hiected to by the Examiner				
10/23	Applicant may not request that any objection	-	,	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	•	•	• •	121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority u	under 35 II S.C.	8 110(a) (d) or (f)				
	 All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of 	ocuments have be	een received. een received in A	Application No	ge			
	application from the Internationa	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the ce	rtified copies not	received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) \			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/18/01</u> .	O(28/08)	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 12-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claims are directed toward a computer software product per se. Such claimed computer software product does not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the software and hardware components that permit the computer software program's functionalities to be realized. For example, to an extent a program written on a piece of paper may also constitute the claimed computer software product (see MPEM \$2106).
- 3. Claims 4-12, 16-25 and 29-37 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 (c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,718

Art Unit: 2154

4. The specification is objected to because the entire content is not further partitioned to include sections as required by 37 CFR 1.77(b).

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because Figure 1 fails to show English <u>legends</u> as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP 608.02(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 13-15 and 26-28 are rejected under USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Wagner [U.S. Pat. No. 6085224].
- 8. As to claims 1-3, 13-15 and 26-28, Wagner teaches the invention as claimed including administering cookies [Abstract; col.2, line 54 col.3, line 14] in an Internet client-server system in which cookies are detected via a browser-independent program

Application/Control Number: 09/919,718

Art Unit: 2154

[col.3, line 5-8; col.4, lines 4-9], while allowing the user to determine whether to prohibit the cookies from being returned to the servers [Fig.6] from which the cookies are delivered by configurable evaluation criteria in the client computer [e.g., Step D, Fig.2]

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Makarios et al. [U.S. Pat. No. 6401125].

10. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (571)272-3969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)872-9306 for official communications; and (571)273-3969 for status inquires draft communication.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,718

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Tai Lin

November 24, 2004

Wen Jant